



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)

## On products and line graphs of signed graphs, their eigenvalues and energy

K.A. Germina<sup>a</sup>, Shahul Hameed K<sup>b</sup>, Thomas Zaslavsky<sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup> Kannur University Mathematics Research Center & PG Department of Mathematics, Mary Matha Arts & Science College, Vemom P.O., Mananthavady 670 645, India<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Government of Brennen College, Thalassery 670 106, Kerala, India<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University (SUNY), Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Available online 19 January 2011

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

## Mathematics classification:

Primary: 05C50

Secondary: 05C22, 05C76

## Keywords:

Signed graph

Cartesian product graph

Line graph

Graph Laplacian

Kirchhoff matrix

Admittance matrix

Eigenvalues of graphs

Energy of graphs

## ABSTRACT

In this article we examine the adjacency and Laplacian matrices and their eigenvalues and energies of the general product (non-complete extended  $p$ -sum, or NEPS) of signed graphs. We express the adjacency matrix of the product in terms of the Kronecker matrix product and the eigenvalues and energy of the product in terms of those of the factor graphs. For the Cartesian product we characterize balance and compute expressions for the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy. We give exact results for those signed planar, cylindrical and toroidal grids which are Cartesian products of signed paths and cycles.

We also treat the eigenvalues and energy of the line graphs of signed graphs, and the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy in the regular case, with application to the line graphs of signed grids that are Cartesian products and to the line graphs of all-positive and all-negative complete graphs.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

We study the adjacency and Laplacian (or Kirchhoff) matrices and their eigenvalues and energies of signed graphs that are Cartesian products, Cvetković products (generally called NEPS), or line graphs. (All graphs in this article are simple and loop-free.)

*Signed graphs* (also called sigraphs), with positive and negative labels on the edges, are much studied in the literature because of their use in modeling a variety of physical and socio-psychological

E-mail addresses: [srgerminaka@gmail.com](mailto:srgerminaka@gmail.com) (K.A. Germina), [shabrennen@gmail.com](mailto:shabrennen@gmail.com) (S. Hameed K), [zaslav@math.binghamton.edu](mailto:zaslav@math.binghamton.edu) (T. Zaslavsky).

processes (see [3,1,9]) and also because of their interesting connections with many classical mathematical systems (see [21]). Formally, a signed graph is an ordered pair  $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$  where  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph called the *underlying graph* of  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ , called a *signing* (also called a *signature*), is a function from the edge set  $E$  of  $G$  into the set  $\{+1, -1\}$  of *signs*. The sign of a cycle in a signed graph is the product of the signs of its edges. Thus a cycle is positive if and only if it contains an even number of negative edges. A signed graph  $\Sigma$  is said to be *balanced* (or *cycle balanced*) if all of its cycles are positive.

A signed graph is *all-positive* (respectively, *all-negative*) if all of its edges are positive (negative); further, it is said to be *homogeneous* if it is either all-positive or all-negative. A graph can be considered to be a homogeneous signed graph; thus signed graphs become a generalization of graphs.

The Cartesian product  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  of two signed graphs  $\Sigma_1 = (V_1, E_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $\Sigma_2 = (V_2, E_2, \sigma_2)$  is a generalization of the Cartesian product of ordinary graphs (see [6, Section 2.5]). It is defined as the signed graph  $(V_1 \times V_2, E, \sigma)$  where the edge set  $E$  is that of the Cartesian product of underlying unsigned graphs and the signature function  $\sigma$  for the labeling of the edges is defined by

$$\sigma((u_i, v_j)(u_k, v_l)) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(u_i u_k), & \text{if } j = l, \\ \sigma_2(v_j v_l), & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we treat the adjacency matrix and the Laplacian matrix of a signed graph. These matrices are immediate generalizations of familiar matrices from ordinary, unsigned graph theory [6]. Thus, if  $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$  is a signed graph where  $G = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , its adjacency matrix  $A(\Sigma) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is defined as

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma(v_i v_j), & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *Laplacian matrix* (or *Kirchhoff matrix* or *admittance matrix*) of a signed graph  $\Sigma$ , denoted by  $L(\Sigma)$  (or  $K(\Sigma)$ ), is  $D(\Sigma) - A(\Sigma)$  where  $D(\Sigma)$  is the diagonal matrix of the degrees of vertices of  $\Sigma$ .

We treat two kinds of operation on signed graphs: the Cartesian product (for which we get the strongest results) and the class of generalizations called “NEPS” (or as we prefer “Cvetković products”) introduced by Cvetković [5], and also the line graphs of signed graphs.

The ordinary adjacency and Laplacian matrices of a graph  $G$  are identical with those of the all-positive signed graph  $+G$ . The so-called signless Laplacian of  $G$  [7] is the Laplacian matrix of the all-negative graph  $-G$ . Eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix, the Laplacian matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of a graph have been widely used to characterize properties of a graph and extract some useful information from its structure. The eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a graph are often referred to as the *eigenvalues of the graph* and those of the Laplacian matrix as the *Laplacian eigenvalues*.

Denote the eigenvalues of a matrix  $M$  of order  $n$  by  $\lambda_j(M)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The *energy*  $E(\Sigma)$  of a signed graph  $\Sigma$  is the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. The *Laplacian energy* of  $\Sigma$ , denoted by  $E_L(\Sigma)$ , is defined as

$$E_L(\Sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} |\lambda_j(L(\Sigma)) - \bar{d}(\Sigma)|,$$

where  $L(\Sigma)$  is the Laplacian matrix of  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{d}(\Sigma) = 2|E|/|V|$  is the average degree of the vertices in  $\Sigma$ . These definitions are direct generalizations of those used for unsigned graphs ([2,10] for energy and [12] for Laplacian energy).

## 2. Preliminaries

For a signed graph  $\Sigma$ , the quantity  $c(\Sigma) = c(G)$  is the number of connected components of the underlying graph and  $c_b(G)$  is the number of its components that are bipartite. The quantity  $b(\Sigma)$  is the number of connected components of  $\Sigma$  that are balanced. An essential lemma in signed graph

theory is a characterization of balance by switching, which when expressed in terms of the adjacency matrix takes the following form:

**Lemma 2.1** [19].  $\Sigma$  is balanced if and only if there is a diagonal matrix  $S$  with diagonal elements  $\pm 1$  such that  $SA(\Sigma)S$  is non-negative. Then  $SA(\Sigma)S = A(G)$  where  $G$  is the underlying graph of  $\Sigma$ .

The negation of a signed graph  $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ , denoted by  $-\Sigma = (G, -\sigma)$ , is the same graph with all signs reversed. The adjacency matrices are related by  $A(-\Sigma) = -A(\Sigma)$ .

### 2.1. Rank and eigenvalues

The incidence matrix of a signed graph  $\Sigma$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges [19] is the  $n \times m$  matrix  $H(\Sigma) = [\eta_{ij}]$  (the capital letter is Eta) in which  $\eta_{ik} = 0$  if  $v_i$  is not incident with  $e_k$ , and  $\eta_{ik} = \pm 1$  if  $v_i$  is incident with  $e_k$ , and such that for an edge  $v_i v_j$ , the product  $\eta_{ik} \eta_{jk} = -\sigma(v_i v_j)$ . The incidence matrix is uniquely determined only up to multiplication of columns by  $-1$ , but that ambiguity does not affect any of the properties of interest to us. In particular, the incidence matrix always satisfies the Kirchhoff equation  $H(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)^T = L(\Sigma)$ . For that reason the Laplacian matrix is positive semi-definite.

**Lemma 2.2** [19]. The incidence matrix and the Laplacian matrix of a signed graph  $\Sigma$  both have rank  $n - b(\Sigma)$ .

For a graph  $G$ , the Laplacian  $L(G) = L(+G)$  has rank  $n - c(G)$  and the signless Laplacian  $Q(G) = L(-G)$  has rank  $n - c_b(G)$ .

**Proof.** The rank of the incidence matrix is found in [19]. The Laplacian matrix, being the product  $H(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)^T$ , has the same rank as  $H(\Sigma)$ . Because  $+G$  is balanced,  $b(+G) = c(G)$ . Because a component of  $-G$  is balanced if and only if it is bipartite,  $b(-G)$  is the number of bipartite components of  $G$ .  $\square$

Recall that the spectrum of a graph or signed graph is the spectrum of its adjacency matrix and that the spectrum is the list of eigenvalues with their multiplicities. The Laplacian spectrum is the spectrum of the Laplacian matrix. Acharya's theorem, following, gives a spectral criterion for balance in signed graphs.

**Theorem 2.3** [9]. If  $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$  is a signed graph, then  $\Sigma$  is balanced if and only if  $G$  and  $\Sigma$  have the same spectrum.

We take note of the special case in which the underlying graph  $G$  is regular. The following lemma generalizes the well known fact that, for a  $k$ -regular graph, the smallest eigenvalue is  $-k$  occurring with multiplicity  $c_b(G)$ , the largest eigenvalue is  $k$  with multiplicity  $c(G)$  and the other eigenvalues fall into the open interval  $(-k, k)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume  $\Sigma$  has underlying graph  $G$  which is regular of degree  $k$ . Let the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  be  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  in weakly increasing order. Then  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)} = -k$ ,  $-k < \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)} < k$  and  $\lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)+1}, \dots, \lambda_n = k$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  are  $\lambda_i^L = k - \lambda_i$ , including  $2k$  with multiplicity  $b(-\Sigma)$ ,  $0 < \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)+1}^L, \dots, \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)}^L < 2k$ , and  $0$  with multiplicity  $b(\Sigma)$ . The Laplacian energy equals the energy.

**Proof.** The proof is by substituting in the definitions, using the facts that the degree matrix is  $D = kI_n$ ,  $A(-\Sigma) = -A(\Sigma)$  and  $k$  is the average degree.  $\square$

Lemma 2.4 raises the question of whether it is possible to have  $b(-\Sigma) > n - b(\Sigma)$ , since if that is the case and  $k \neq 0$ , then there is a contradiction in the notation of the lemma. By Lemma 2.6, a

contradiction of that kind in Lemma 2.4 is not a problem because  $b(-\Sigma) > n - b(\Sigma)$  implies  $k = 0$  and then all eigenvalues are  $0 = k = -k$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Both  $\Sigma$  and  $-\Sigma$  are balanced if and only if the underlying graph  $G$  is bipartite and  $\Sigma$  or  $-\Sigma$  is balanced.

**Proof.** Let  $C$  be a cycle in  $G$ . The sign of  $C$  in  $-\Sigma$  equals  $(-1)^{|C|}$  times the sign of  $C$  in  $\Sigma$ . Thus  $C$  has the same sign in both  $\Sigma$  and  $-\Sigma$  if and only if it has even length. Therefore, if  $G$  contains an odd cycle, it is impossible for  $\Sigma$  and  $-\Sigma$  to both be balanced. If  $G$  is bipartite, then every cycle has the same sign in  $\Sigma$  and in  $-\Sigma$ ; therefore  $\Sigma$  is balanced if and only if  $-\Sigma$  is balanced.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $b(-\Sigma) + b(\Sigma) \leq n$  except possibly when the number of isolated vertices is greater than the number of components with order at least 3.

In particular, if the underlying graph  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and  $b(-\Sigma) + b(\Sigma) > n$  then  $k = 0$ .

**Proof.** First let us consider a single connected component  $\Sigma_i$  of  $\Sigma$  whose order is  $n_i$ ; let  $G_i$  be the corresponding component of  $G$ . If  $n_i \leq 2$  then  $b(\Sigma_i) = b(-\Sigma_i) = 1$ , so  $b(\Sigma_i) + b(-\Sigma_i) \leq 2 = n_i + 1$  if  $n_i = 1$  and  $b(\Sigma_i) + b(-\Sigma_i) \leq 2 = n_i$  if  $n_i = 2$ . If  $n_i > 2$  then  $b(\Sigma_i) + b(-\Sigma_i) \leq 2 < n_i$ .

Therefore if  $b(-\Sigma) + b(\Sigma) > n$  then there are at least as many isolated vertices in  $G$  as the number of components with order 3 or greater.

When all vertices have the same degree  $k$  there can be no isolated vertices unless  $k = 0$ , which means there are no edges.  $\square$

## 2.2. Kronecker product of matrices

The identity matrix of order  $n$  is denoted by  $I_n$ . The Kronecker product of matrices  $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times p}$  and  $B$  of orders  $m \times p$  and  $n \times q$ , respectively, is the matrix  $A \otimes B$  of order  $mn \times pq$  defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \dots & \dots & a_{1p}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \dots & \dots & a_{2p}B \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \dots & \dots & a_{mp}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Kronecker product is a componentwise operation, that is,  $(A \otimes B)(A' \otimes B') = (AA') \otimes (BB')$ . It is also an associative operation; therefore a multiple product  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_v$  is well defined. Let  $A_i$  have order  $m_i \times n_i$  and elements  $a_{i;jk}$ . An element of such a product is indexed by a pair of  $v$ -tuples, a row index  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_v)$  and a column index  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v)$ , where  $1 \leq j_i \leq m_i$  and  $1 \leq k_i \leq n_i$ . The element  $a_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k}}$  of the product matrix is

$$a_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k}} = a_{1;j_1 k_1} a_{2;j_2 k_2} \dots a_{v;j_v k_v}. \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 2.7 [23].** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be square matrices of orders  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $\mu_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Then the  $mn$  eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$  are  $\lambda_i \mu_j$ , and those of  $A \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes B$  are  $\lambda_i + \mu_j$ .

The second part of the lemma is due to the fact that  $A \otimes I_n$  and  $I_m \otimes B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. The first part has an obvious extension to multiple products. That is the first part of the next lemma. The second part is the extension to multiple sums and products.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $A_i$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, v$ , be a square matrix of order  $n_i$  and let  $\lambda_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$  be its eigenvalues. Let  $k_1, \dots, k_v$  be non-negative integers. Then the  $n_1 \dots n_v$  eigenvalues of the Kronecker product  $A_1^{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_v^{k_v}$  are  $\lambda_{j_1 \dots j_v} = \lambda_{1j_1}^{k_1} \dots \lambda_{vj_v}^{k_v}$  for  $1 \leq j_i \leq n_i$ .

Let  $\mathbf{k}_p = (k_{p1}, \dots, k_{pv})$  for  $1 \leq p \leq q$  be vectors of non-negative integers. Then the  $n_1 \cdots n_v$  eigenvalues of  $\sum_{p=1}^q A_1^{k_{p1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_v^{k_{pv}}$  are  $\lambda_{j_1 \dots j_v} = \sum_{p=1}^q \lambda_{1j_1}^{k_{p1}} \cdots \lambda_{vj_v}^{k_{pv}}$  for  $1 \leq j_i \leq n_i$ .

**Proof.** The first part is obvious from Lemma 2.7. The second part is true because the summed matrices commute, so they are simultaneously diagonalizable, they have the same eigenvectors and therefore their eigenvalues can be summed.  $\square$

### 2.3. Products of signed graphs

Now we define a general product of signed graphs following the idea of Cvetković for unsigned graphs [5] as described in [6, Section 2.5]. We work with signed graphs  $\Sigma_i = (V_i, E_i, \sigma_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, v$ , of order  $n_i$ , with underlying graph  $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ , vertex set  $V_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{in_i}\}$  and adjacency matrix  $A_i$ . We denote the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_i$  by  $\lambda_{i1}, \lambda_{i2}, \dots, \lambda_{in_i}$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are denoted by a superscript  $L$ , as  $\lambda_{ij}^L$ .

The general product is known as the *non-complete extended p-sum* or *NEPS*, but we shall call it simply the *Cvetković product*. This product is defined in terms of a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of 0/1 vectors, called the *basis* for the product, such that for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$  there exists at least one  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  for which  $\beta_i = 1$ ; we say  $\mathcal{B}$  has *support*  $\{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ . First we define a product for one arbitrary vector  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_v) \in \{0, 1\}^v \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$ . This product, written  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta)$ , is the signed graph  $(V, E, \sigma)$  with vertex set

$$V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_v,$$

edge set

$$E = \{(u_1, \dots, u_v)(v_1, \dots, v_v) : u_i = v_i \text{ if } \beta_i = 0 \text{ and } u_i v_i \in E_i \text{ if } \beta_i = 1\},$$

and signature

$$\sigma((u_1, \dots, u_v)(v_1, \dots, v_v)) = \prod_{i=1}^v \sigma_i(u_i v_i)^{\beta_i} = \prod_{i: \beta_i=1} \sigma_i(u_i v_i).$$

In the general definition we have a set  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^v \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$  and we define

$$\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta).$$

The underlying graph of  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \mathcal{B})$  is the Cvetković product  $\text{NEPS}(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_v; \mathcal{B})$  of the underlying graphs as defined in [6, Section 2.5].

**Lemma 2.9.** If  $\beta \neq \beta'$ , then  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta)$  and  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta')$  have disjoint edge sets.

**Proof.** For a vertex pair  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_v)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_v)$ , define  $\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \{0, 1\}^v$  by  $\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_j = 0$  if  $u_j = v_j$  and 1 if  $u_j \neq v_j$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  are adjacent in  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta)$  if and only if  $\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_j = \beta_j$  for every  $j$ , i.e.,  $\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \beta$ . This proves that  $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}$  is an edge in  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta)$  for exactly one  $\beta$ .  $\square$

In particular, the Cartesian product  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_v$  arises by taking  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the set of all vectors with exactly one coordinate equal to 1. Another important product, called the *strong product* or *categorical product*, is obtained by taking  $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$ . A generalization of both, which could be called the *symmetric p-sum* (but we think of it as a product), is obtained by taking the set  $\mathcal{B}_p$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq q$ , which consists of all vectors  $\beta$  with exactly  $p$  coordinates equal to 1. An incomplete  $p$ -product, where  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_p$ , has the nice property that

$$\text{NEPS}(-\Sigma_1, \dots, -\Sigma_v; \mathcal{B}) = (-)^p \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \mathcal{B}).$$

For instance in the Cartesian product  $(-\Sigma_1) \times \cdots \times (-\Sigma_v) = -(\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_v)$ .

A final property shows that a Cvetković product of all-positive signed graphs is essentially equivalent to the same product of the underlying graphs. Clearly,

$$\text{NEPS}(+G_1, \dots, +G_v; \mathcal{B}) = +\text{NEPS}(G_1, \dots, G_v; \mathcal{B}). \quad (2)$$

### 3. Main results

In this section we establish expressions for the adjacency, degree and Laplacian matrices of the Cvetković product of signed graphs in terms of the Kronecker products of the corresponding matrices of the factor graphs. We also find similar expressions for the line graph of a signed graph. We apply these results to calculate eigenvalues and energies in general and, in Section 4, for certain signed product graphs: planar, cylindrical and toroidal grids, and their line graphs. An important application is the characterization of balance of the product graph.

#### 3.1. Adjacency matrix, eigenvalues and energy of products

First we treat the adjacency matrix of the Cvetković product, which implies expressions for the eigenvalues. For the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_i$  we write  $\lambda_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ . This theorem generalizes [6, Theorems 2.21 and 2.23] to signed graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma = \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu; \mathcal{B})$ . The adjacency matrix is given by

$$A(\Sigma) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} A_1^{\beta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_\nu^{\beta_\nu}.$$

The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{j_1 \dots j_\nu} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \dots \lambda_{\nu j_\nu}^{\beta_\nu}$$

for  $1 \leq j_1 \leq n_1, \dots, 1 \leq j_\nu \leq n_\nu$ .

The energy

$$E(\Sigma) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{j_\nu=1}^{n_\nu} \left| \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \dots \lambda_{\nu j_\nu}^{\beta_\nu} \right|$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{1}{n} E(\Sigma) \leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \prod_{i: \beta_i=1} \frac{1}{n_i} E(\Sigma_i).$$

Equality holds for  $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$  (the strong product) but, assuming no  $\Sigma_i$  is without edges, in no other case.

The form of the energy bound suggests that the average energy per vertex,  $\bar{E}(\Sigma) = E(\Sigma)/|V(\Sigma)|$ , may be an important quantity.

**Proof.** The proof of the first equation is almost exactly the same as that of the corresponding result for unsigned graphs [6, Theorem 2.21]. The difference is that we must pay attention to the edge signs. By Lemma 2.9 we need only consider the term of one  $\beta$  at a time; thus let  $\Sigma = \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu; \beta)$ .

The elements of  $A(\Sigma)$  are indexed by pairs of  $\nu$ -tuples,  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\nu)$  and  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\nu)$  where  $1 \leq j_i \leq m_i$  and  $1 \leq k_i \leq n_i$ , corresponding to vertices  $\mathbf{u} = (u_{1j_1}, u_{2j_2}, \dots, u_{\nu j_\nu})$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_{1k_1}, v_{2k_2}, \dots, v_{\nu k_\nu})$  of the product graph. Let us write  $a_{\mathbf{uv}}$  for the corresponding element of  $A(\Sigma)$ . Then  $a_{\mathbf{uv}} = \sigma_1(u_{1j_1} v_{1k_1})^{\beta_1} \sigma_2(u_{2j_2} v_{2k_2})^{\beta_2} \dots \sigma_\nu(u_{\nu j_\nu} v_{\nu k_\nu})^{\beta_\nu}$  by the definition of the Cvetković product, where in each signed graph we define  $\sigma(uv) = 0$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are not adjacent. The Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices has  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ -element  $a_{1:j_1 k_1}^{\beta_1} a_{2:j_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} \dots a_{\nu:j_\nu k_\nu}^{\beta_\nu}$  by Eq. (1). These two expressions are equal because  $a_{i:j_i k_i} = \sigma_i(u_{ij_i} v_{ik_i})$  by the definition of the adjacency matrix.

The eigenvalues follow from Lemma 2.8.

The energy is immediate from the definition and the eigenvalue formula. The bound is computed from the energy formula:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Sigma) &\leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{j_v=1}^{n_v} |\lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \lambda_{vj_v}^{\beta_v}| \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \prod_{i: \beta_i=0} \sum_{j_i=1}^{n_i} 1 \cdot \prod_{i: \beta_i=1} \sum_{j_i=1}^{n_i} |\lambda_{ij_i}| = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \prod_{i: \beta_i=0} n_i \cdot \prod_{i: \beta_i=1} E(\Sigma_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} n \prod_{i: \beta_i=1} \frac{1}{n_i} E(\Sigma_i). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta\}$ , the eigenvalues are  $\lambda_{j_1 \dots j_v} = \lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \lambda_{vj_v}^{\beta_v}$ ; therefore, equality holds in the calculation of the energy bound. The only such  $\beta$  permitted by the definition of the Cvetković product is  $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$ , which is the strong product.

Now suppose  $|\mathcal{B}| > 1$  and every  $\Sigma_i$  has at least one edge. That implies the eigenvalues of  $A_i$  are not all 0. Since the sum of the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_i$  is the trace of  $A_i$ , which is 0,  $\Sigma_i$  has both positive and negative eigenvalues. There exists an index  $I$ ,  $1 \leq I \leq v$ , such that not all  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  have the same value  $\beta_I$ ; let  $\beta', \beta'' \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\beta'_I = 0$  and  $\beta''_I = 1$ . Choose  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_v$  so that  $\lambda_{ij_i} > 0$  for  $i \neq I$  but  $\lambda_{Ij_I} < 0$ . Now consider the eigenvalue  $\pi = \lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_v}(\Sigma)$ . In its representation as a sum of terms  $\prod_{i=1}^v \lambda_{ij_i}^{\beta_i}$  there are a positive term due to  $\beta'$  and a negative term due to  $\beta''$ . Therefore  $|\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \lambda_{vj_v}^{\beta_v}| < \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} |\lambda_{1j_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \lambda_{vj_v}^{\beta_v}|$ . It follows that the energy bound is strict.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The adjacency matrix  $A(\Sigma)$  of the Cartesian product  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_v$  of  $v$  signed graphs is*

$$A_1 \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_v} + I_{n_1} \otimes A_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_v} + \cdots + I_{n_1} \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_v.$$

The eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  are the sums of the eigenvalues of the  $\Sigma_i$ ; i.e.,

$$\lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_v}(\Sigma) = \lambda_{j_1}(\Sigma_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{j_v}(\Sigma_v).$$

The energy  $E(\Sigma)$  is given by the formula

$$E(\Sigma) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{j_v=1}^{n_v} |\lambda_{j_1}(\Sigma_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{j_v}(\Sigma_v)|$$

and satisfies the inequality  $\frac{1}{n} E(\Sigma) \leq \frac{1}{n_1} E(\Sigma_1) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_v} E(\Sigma_v)$ , where  $n = n_1 \cdots n_v$ , with strict inequality if  $v \geq 2$  and at least two of the  $\Sigma_i$  are not edgeless.

**Proof.** The corollary is immediate from Theorem 3.1 except the criterion for strict inequality, which is slightly stronger than that of Theorem 3.1 and is proved similarly.  $\square$

### 3.2. Balance of the Cvetković product and the Cartesian product

The eigenvalues provide a short proof that the Cartesian product is balanced if and only if all constituent factors are balanced. Balance is important because, by Acharya's theorem, it causes the eigenvalues and energy (of both adjacency and Laplacian matrices) to be identical to those of the underlying unsigned graph, and therefore not interesting for themselves. We begin with a general theorem that provides a sufficient but not a necessary condition for balance of a Cvetković product.



**Theorem 3.3.** A Cvetković product  $\Sigma = \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu; \mathcal{B})$  is balanced if  $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu$  are all balanced.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  contains the vector  $\beta_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  with 1 in the  $i$ th position and 0 elsewhere. If  $\Sigma_i$  is unbalanced,  $\Sigma$  is also unbalanced.

**Proof.** If all  $\Sigma_i$  are balanced, then Theorem 2.3 says that they have the same spectra as do their underlying graphs  $G_i$ . The formulas (in Theorem 3.1) for the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  in terms of those of the  $\Sigma_i$  and of its underlying graph  $G = \text{NEPS}(G_1, \dots, G_\nu; \mathcal{B})$  (regarded as all positive) in terms of the  $G_i$  are exactly the same, so  $\Sigma$  and  $G$  have the same spectrum. By Theorem 2.3 again,  $\Sigma$  is balanced.

The Cvetković product  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu; \beta_i)$  consists of  $n/n_i$  copies of  $\Sigma_i$ , where  $n = n_1 \cdots n_\nu$ . Therefore  $\Sigma_i$  is a subgraph of  $\Sigma$ . A subgraph of a balanced graph is balanced, so if  $\Sigma_i$  is unbalanced,  $\Sigma$  is unbalanced.  $\square$

The first part of Theorem 3.3 does not have a general converse. A counterexample is  $\Sigma = \text{NEPS}(-G_1, +K_2; \mathcal{B}_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(1, 1)\}$ .  $\Sigma$  is bipartite and all negative; therefore it is always balanced. However,  $-G_1$  is balanced only when  $G_1$  is bipartite. It is an open problem to determine which bases  $\mathcal{B}$  have the property that for every Cvetković product  $\Sigma = \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu; \mathcal{B})$  with basis  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Sigma$  is balanced if and only if all the constituents  $\Sigma_i$  are balanced. There is one important case in which there is such an if-and-only-if theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** The following three statements about the Cartesian product  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_\nu$  are equivalent.

- (i)  $\Sigma$  is balanced.
- (ii) All of  $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\nu$  are balanced.
- (iii)  $\Sigma$  and its underlying graph  $G$  have the same spectrum.

When  $\Sigma$  is balanced, it and  $G$  have the same energy.

**Proof.** Theorem 2.3 implies the equivalence of (i) and (iii). Theorem 3.1 shows that (i) implies (ii). We need only prove the converse. The basis for the Cartesian product is  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , which contains every unit vector  $\beta_i$ . Therefore, balance of the Cartesian product implies balance of each  $\Sigma_i$  by Theorem 3.1.

The last part follows from the definition of energy.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_\nu$ . Then  $b(\Sigma) = b(\Sigma_1) \cdots b(\Sigma_\nu)$ .

**Proof.** Each component of the Cartesian product is the Cartesian product of components  $\Sigma'_i$  of  $\Sigma_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ . The component is balanced if and only if all  $\Sigma'_i$  are balanced.  $\square$

### 3.3. Laplacian matrix, eigenvalues and energy of the Cartesian product

The formula for the Laplacian matrix of the Cartesian product is like that for the adjacency matrix. We write  $\lambda_i^L$  and  $\mu_j^L$  for the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.6.** The degree matrix of a Cvetković product  $G = \text{NEPS}(G_1, \dots, G_\nu; \mathcal{B})$  of graphs  $G_i$  of order  $n_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ , is

$$D(G) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} D(G_1)^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes D(G_\nu)^{\beta_\nu}.$$

The average degree of the product is  $\bar{d}(G) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \prod_{i=1}^\nu \bar{d}(G_i)^{\beta_i}$ .

In particular, the degree matrix of the Cartesian product is

$$D(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_\nu) = D(G_1) \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_\nu} + I_{n_1} \otimes D(G_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_\nu} \\ + \cdots + I_{n_1} \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes D(G_\nu).$$

The average degree is  $\bar{d}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^\nu \bar{d}(G_i)$ .



**Proof.** We evaluate the degree matrix of the product  $G_\beta = \text{NEPS}(G_1, \dots, G_v; \beta)$ . By Lemma 2.9 the degree matrix of  $G$  is the sum of  $D(G_\beta)$  over all  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ .

By definition the neighbors of a vertex  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_v)$  are the vertices  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_v)$  such that  $u_i = v_i$  if  $\beta_i = 0$  and  $u_i v_i \in E(G_i)$  if  $\beta_i = 1$ . The elements of  $\mathbf{v}$  for which  $\beta_i = 0$  are fixed to be  $u_i$ , and those  $v_i$  for which  $\beta_i = 1$  may independently vary over all neighbors of  $u_i$ . Therefore the degree of  $\mathbf{u}$  is the product of the degrees  $d_{G_i}(u_i)$  over all  $i$  such that  $\beta_i = 1$ .

The matrix  $D(G_1)^{\beta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes D(G_v)^{\beta_v}$  is diagonal and has as its diagonal element indexed by  $\mathbf{u}$  the number  $d_{G_1}(u_1)^{\beta_1} \dots d_{G_v}(u_v)^{\beta_v}$ . This is exactly  $d_G(\mathbf{u})$ . Thus the formula for the degree matrix is proved.

Let  $n = n_1 \dots n_v$ . As there are  $n$  vertices, the average degree is determined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot \bar{d}(G_\beta) &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{j_v=1}^{n_v} d_G(u_1, \dots, u_v) \\ &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} d_{G_1}(u_1)^{\beta_1} \dots \sum_{j_v=1}^{n_v} d_{G_v}(u_v)^{\beta_v} \\ &= [n_1 \bar{d}(G_1)^{\beta_1}] \dots [n_v \bar{d}(G_v)^{\beta_v}] \end{aligned}$$

because  $\sum_{j_i=1}^{n_i} d_{G_i}(u_i)^0 = n_i$  and  $\sum_{j_i=1}^{n_i} d_{G_i}(u_i)^1 = n_i \bar{d}(G_i)$ . The left side of this equation is the total degree of  $G_\beta$ . By edge-disjointness of the graphs  $G_\beta$ , the sum over  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  is the total degree of  $G$ . Upon dividing by  $n$  we get the desired formula.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Given signed graphs  $\Sigma_1$  of order  $n_1, \dots, \Sigma_v$  of order  $n_v$ , the Laplacian matrix of the Cartesian product  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_v$  is

$$L(\Sigma) = L(\Sigma_1) \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v} + \dots + I_{n_1} \otimes L(\Sigma_2) \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v}.$$

The Laplacian eigenvalues of the Cartesian product are the sums of those of all the constituents  $\Sigma_i$ ; i.e.,  $\lambda_{jk}^L(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^v \lambda_{ij}^L$ .

**Proof.** The theorem follows from Theorem 3.6, Lemma 2.7 and the formula of Corollary 3.2 for the adjacency matrix. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\Sigma) &= D(\Sigma) - A(\Sigma) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^v I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes D(\Sigma_i) \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v} - \sum_{i=1}^v I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A(\Sigma_i) \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^v I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes [D(\Sigma_i) - A(\Sigma_i)] \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^v I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L(\Sigma_i) \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_v}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7 does not generalize to other Cvetković products. For a vector  $\beta$  of weight  $\sum_{i=1}^v \beta_i > 1$ , the Laplacian of  $\Sigma_\beta = \text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \beta)$  is

$$D(\Sigma_\beta) - A(\Sigma_\beta) = D(\Sigma_1)^{\beta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes D(\Sigma_v)^{\beta_v} - A(\Sigma_1)^{\beta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A(\Sigma_v)^{\beta_v}.$$

The right-hand side will not combine by linear combination into a product of Laplacian matrices. The general product  $\text{NEPS}(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_v; \mathcal{B})$  where  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a vector of weight  $> 1$  has the same difficulty. The only Cvetković product in which no vector has weight  $> 1$  is the Cartesian product.

Theorem 3.7 implies the value of the Laplacian energy.

**Corollary 3.8.** *The Laplacian energy of  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_v$  is given by*

$$E_L(\Sigma) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{j_v=1}^{n_v} \left| \sum_{i=1}^v \lambda_{ij_i}^L - \bar{d}(\Sigma) \right|,$$

*which has an upper bound given by*

$$\frac{1}{n} E_L(\Sigma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{1}{n_i} E_L(\Sigma_i).$$

*The inequality is strict unless  $v = 1$  or at most one of the  $\Sigma_i$  has any edges.*

The average Laplacian energy per vertex,  $\bar{E}_L(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{n} E_L(\Sigma)$ , like the average energy per vertex mentioned at Theorem 3.1, appears to be significant.

**Proof.** The first part of the corollary is an immediate consequence of the definition of Laplacian energy and Theorem 3.7. The second part follows from the formula of Theorem 3.6 for the average degree of  $\Sigma$  and the consequent inequality  $|\sum_{i=1}^v \lambda_{ij_i}^L - \bar{d}(\Sigma)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^v |\lambda_{ij_i}^L - \bar{d}(\Sigma_i)|$ .

The argument for strict inequality is similar to that in Theorem 3.1. The Laplacian energy of a signed graph  $\Sigma$  of order  $n$  with underlying graph  $G$  is the trace of the matrix  $L(\Sigma) - \bar{d}(G)I_n$ . The argument applied to the adjacency matrix in Theorem 3.1 should be applied to  $L(\Sigma) - \bar{d}(G)I_n$  here.  $\square$

### 3.4. Line graph

The general theorem on eigenvalues and energies of the line graph is well known for unsigned graphs. For signed graphs it requires a new definition, namely, that of the line graph of a signed graph.

The *line graph* of  $\Sigma$  [20,22] is the signed graph  $\Lambda(\Sigma) = (\Lambda(G), \sigma_\Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda(G)$  is the ordinary line graph of the underlying graph<sup>1</sup> and  $\sigma_\Lambda$  is a signature such that every cycle in  $\Sigma$  becomes a cycle with the same sign in the line graph, and any three edges incident with a common vertex become a negative triangle in the line graph. The adjacency matrix is  $A(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = 2I_m - H(\Sigma)^T H(\Sigma)$ .

There are two homogeneous special cases. With an all-negative signature,  $\Lambda(-G) = -\Lambda(G)$ , so the all-negative signature is what gives the line graph of an unsigned graph. For an all-positive signature, though,  $\Lambda(+G)$  is not  $+\Lambda(G)$  unless  $G$  is bipartite with maximum degree at most 2. The Laplacian of  $\Lambda(-G)$  is therefore the “signless Laplacian” of  $\Lambda(G)$ .

We can deduce the eigenvalues of the line graph from the Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph (Theorem 3.9), but we cannot obtain the Laplacian eigenvalues of the line graph, except in the special case where the original underlying graph is regular (Theorem 3.10).

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a signed graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$ , with Laplacian eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^L, \dots, \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)}^L > 0$  and  $\lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)+1}^L, \dots, \lambda_n^L = 0$ .*

*The eigenvalues of the line graph  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  are  $2 - \lambda_1^L, \dots, 2 - \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)}^L < 2$  and eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + b(\Sigma)$ . Its energy is*

$$E(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-b(\Sigma)} | \lambda_i^L - 2 | + 2(m - n + b(\Sigma)).$$

**Proof.** The eigenvalues of the line graph are obtained by the standard method. The line graph  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\Sigma)$  has adjacency matrix  $2I_m - H(\Sigma)^T H(\Sigma)$ . The eigenvalues of  $H(\Sigma)^T H(\Sigma)$  are the same as those of  $L(\Sigma) = H(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)^T$ , except that the multiplicity of 0 changes from  $b(\Sigma)$  to  $m - n + b(\Sigma)$ . Thus, the adjacency matrix of  $\Lambda$  has eigenvalues  $2 - \lambda_1^L, \dots, 2 - \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)}^L$ , and also 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + b(\Sigma)$ . That implies the value of the energy  $E(\Lambda)$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup> We do not use the customary letter  $L$  because we have used it for the Laplacian or Kirchhoff matrix.

**Theorem 3.10.** Assume  $\Sigma$  has underlying graph  $G$  which is regular of degree  $k$ . Let the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  be  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)} = -k, -k < \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)} < k$ , and  $\lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)+1}, \dots, \lambda_n = k$ .

The line graph  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 - k + 2, \dots, \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)} - k + 2 = -(2k - 2), -(2k - 2) < \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)+1} - k + 2, \dots, \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)} - k + 2 < 2$  and eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + b(\Sigma)$ . Its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $3k - 4 - \lambda_1, \dots, 3k - 4 - \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)} = 4k - 4, 4k - 4 > 3k - 4 - \lambda_{b(-\Sigma)+1}, \dots, 3k - 4 - \lambda_{n-b(\Sigma)} > 2k - 4$ , and  $2k - 4$  with multiplicity  $m - n + b(\Sigma)$ . Its energy and Laplacian energy are:

$$E(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = E_L(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-b(\Sigma)} |\lambda_i - (k - 2)| + 2(m - n + b(\Sigma)).$$

**Proof.** The range of values of eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  is taken from Lemma 2.4.

As the degree of a vertex in  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ , the total number of edges is  $m = \frac{1}{2}kn$ . The degree of a vertex in the line graph is  $d(\Lambda) = 2k - 2$ . Lemma 2.4 shows that the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  satisfy  $\lambda_i^L = k - \lambda_i$ . Therefore by Theorem 3.9 the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  have the form  $2 - k + \lambda_i$  (for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $m - n$  other eigenvalues equal to 2. Substitution in the formulas of Theorem 3.9 gives the eigenvalues and energy of the line graph. By Lemma 2.4 the Laplacian energy equals the energy.

The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  satisfy  $\lambda^L(\Lambda) = 2k - 2 - \lambda(\Lambda) = 2k - 4 + \lambda^H$  where  $\lambda^H$  denotes an eigenvalue of  $H(\Sigma)^T H(\Sigma)$ . Thus their values are  $3k - 4 - \lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and the eigenvalue  $2k - 4$  with multiplicity  $m - n$  in addition to its multiplicity amongst the values  $3k - 4 - \lambda_i$ .  $\square$

We now apply these results to the Cartesian product of any number of signed graphs. Note that in the exceptional cases where  $m - n < 0$ , the “additional eigenvalues” equal to a value  $\alpha$  constitute a deduction from the previously stated multiplicity  $b(\Sigma)$  of  $\alpha$ . This can occur only in the rare case that some component of  $\Sigma$  is a tree (and not always then); that is, if all the constituents  $\Sigma_i$  have isolated vertices with at most one exception which must have a tree component.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_r$  be  $r$  signed graphs, and let  $\Sigma_i$  have order  $n_i$ , size  $m_i$  and Laplacian eigenvalues  $\lambda_{ij}^L$  (for  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ). Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_r$ , the Cartesian product, of order  $n = n_1 \dots n_r$ , average degree  $\bar{d}(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{d}(\Sigma_i)$  and size  $m = \frac{1}{2}n\bar{d}(\Sigma)$ .

Then the line graph  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r} = 2 - (\lambda_{1j_1}^L + \dots + \lambda_{rj_r}^L),$$

of which exactly  $b(\Sigma) = b(\Sigma_1) \dots b(\Sigma_r)$  are equal to 2 and the remainder are  $< 2$ , together with  $m - n = n(\frac{1}{2}\bar{d}(\Sigma) - 1)$  additional eigenvalues equal to 2. Its energy is

$$E(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{j_r=1}^{n_r} |\lambda_{1j_1}^L + \dots + \lambda_{rj_r}^L - 2| + 2(m - n).$$

**Proof.** The size of  $\Sigma$  follows from its average degree. The average degree follows from the fact that the degree of a vertex  $(v_{1j_1}, \dots, v_{rj_r})$  in  $\Sigma$  equals the sum of the degrees of its component vertices,  $\sum_{i=1}^r d(v_{ij_i})$ .

By obvious extensions of Theorems 3.2 and 3.7 the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  are the sums of the Laplacian eigenvalues of the constituent graphs  $\Sigma_i$ .

The fact that  $b(\Sigma) = b(\Sigma_1) \dots b(\Sigma_r)$  is Corollary 3.5.

The formula for energy in Theorem 3.9 can be rewritten as  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^L - 2| + 2(m - n)$  because  $\lambda_i^L = 0$  for  $i = b(\Sigma) + 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\lambda_i^L = \lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r}^L$  because  $\Sigma$  is a Cartesian product.

Theorem 3.11 now follows from Theorem 3.9.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** In Theorem 3.11 let the underlying graph of each  $\Sigma_i$  be  $k_i$ -regular for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and let  $k = k_1 + \dots + k_r$ . Then the underlying graph of  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -regular and that of  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  is  $2(k - 1)$ -regular.

The line graph  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r} = 2 - k + (\lambda_{1j_1} + \dots + \lambda_{rj_r}),$$

for  $1 \leq j_1 \leq n_1, \dots, 1 \leq j_r \leq n_r$ , of which exactly  $b(\Sigma) = b(\Sigma_1) \cdots b(\Sigma_r)$  are equal to 2 and the remainder are  $< 2$  and  $\geq -2(k-1)$  (amongst which are exactly  $b(-\Sigma) = b(-\Sigma_1) \cdots b(-\Sigma_r)$  equal to  $-2(k-1)$ ), together with  $n(k-2)$  additional eigenvalues equal to 2.

It has energy, also equal to the Laplacian energy, given by:

$$E(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = E_L(\Lambda(\Sigma)) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{j_r=1}^{n_r} |(\lambda_{1j_1} + \dots + \lambda_{rj_r}) + 2 - k| + 2(k-2)n.$$

It has Laplacian eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r}^L = 3k - 4 - (\lambda_{1j_1} + \dots + \lambda_{rj_r}),$$

of which exactly  $b(\Sigma_1) \cdots b(\Sigma_r)$  are equal to  $2k-4$  and the remainder are  $> 2k-4$  and  $\leq 4k-6$  (of which exactly  $b(-\Sigma) = b(-\Sigma_1) \cdots b(-\Sigma_r)$  are equal to  $4k-6$ ), together with  $n(k-2)$  additional eigenvalues equal to  $2k-4$ .

**Proof.** We substitute in Theorem 3.11 the values  $k$  for the average degree of  $\Sigma$  and  $2(k-1)$  for the average degree of  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\Sigma)$ . Thus  $m = \frac{1}{2}2(k-1)n$  and  $m - n = (k-2)n$ . We modify the energy summation as explained in the proof of Theorem 3.11. That gives the eigenvalues and energy of the line graph. The numbers of eigenvalues that take on the extreme values  $-(2k-2)$  and 2 are given by Lemma 2.4.

The Laplacian eigenvalues satisfy  $\lambda^L = 2(k-1) - \lambda$  where  $\lambda$  ranges over the eigenvalues.  $\square$

## 4. Examples

In the sequel, while computing the energy of the Cartesian products of certain signed graphs, we give emphasis to unbalanced signed graphs because, as Theorems 2.3 and 3.4 imply, the Cartesian product of balanced signed graphs behaves exactly like the product of the unsigned underlying graphs.

Many formulas in the examples have cases depending on the parity of the number of negative edges in a signed cycle. A signed cycle with  $r$  negative edges is balanced if  $r$  is even and unbalanced if  $r$  is odd, and whether it is balanced or unbalanced is what determines the eigenvalues and energy. Therefore, for an integer  $r$ , we define  $[r] = 0$  if  $r$  is even,  $[r] = 1$  if  $r$  is odd.

### 4.1. Constituent signed graphs

The signed graphs which are the constituents of the Cartesian product examples are paths and cycles. We denote by  $P_n^{(r)}$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ , signed paths of order  $n$  and size  $n-1$  with  $r$  negative edges where the underlying graph is the path  $P_n$ . Note that  $P_n^{(0)} = +P_n$  and  $P_n^{(n-1)} = -P_n$ , in which all edges are positive or negative, respectively. Similar notations are adopted for signed cycles  $C_n^{(r)}$  with  $r$  negative edges for  $0 \leq r \leq n$ .

Observing the fact that signed paths and indeed all signed trees do not contain any cycles, we have from Theorem 2.3:

**Corollary 4.1.** *A signed tree has the same eigenvalues as the underlying unsigned tree.*

In particular, from known spectra we deduce eigenvalues that will be used later. (For the eigenvalues see e.g. [18] for the path and positive cycle and for all cycles [15, 13, 16]. For the Laplacian eigenvalues see [8].) To express the Laplacian matrix we employ square matrices  $J_{n;ij}$  of order  $n$  whose only nonzero element is 1 in position  $(i, j)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** The signed paths  $P_n^{(r)}$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ , have average degree  $2 - \frac{2}{n}$ . The eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_j = 2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The Laplacian matrix is  $L(P_n^{(r)}) = 2I_n - (J_{n;11} + J_{n;nn}) - A(P_n^{(r)})$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_j^L = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n} \right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

all of which are positive except that  $\lambda_n^L = 0$ .

**Proof.** The eigenvalues are the same as the known eigenvalues of the unsigned path. The endpoints of the path are  $v_1$  and  $v_n$ . Thus, the degree matrix is  $2I$  with 1 subtracted in the upper left and lower right corners. That is,  $D(P_n^{(r)}) = 2I_n - (J_{n;11} + J_{n;nn})$ . The Laplacian matrix follows at once.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** The eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $C_n^{(r)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $0 \leq r \leq n$  are given by

$$\lambda_j = 2 \cos \frac{(2j - [r])\pi}{n},$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The Laplacian matrix is  $L(C_n^{(r)}) = 2I_n - A(C_n^{(r)})$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_j^L = 2 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{(2j - [r])\pi}{n} \right),$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , all of which are positive except that  $\lambda_n^L = 0$  when  $r$  is even.

#### 4.2. Product signed grids and ladders

In light of Theorem 3.4, a signed (planar) grid  $P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$  is balanced. This graph has  $nr_1 + mr_2$  negative edges. Note that not all signatures of a grid  $P_m \times P_n$  are balanced, but all signatures arising from Cartesian products are. We refer to these signed graphs as *product signed graphs* to emphasize that they do not have arbitrary signs.

**Corollary 4.4.** A signed grid graph that is a Cartesian product of signed paths is balanced. The adjacency matrix of a signed grid graph of the form  $P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$ , where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m-1$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n-1$ , is  $A(P_m^{(r_1)}) \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes A(P_n^{(r_2)})$  and the Laplacian matrix is

$$4I_{mn} - (A(P_n^{(r_2)}) + J_{m;11} + J_{m;mm}) \otimes I_n - I_m \otimes (A(P_n^{(r_2)}) + J_{n;11} + J_{n;nn}).$$

The eigenvalues are given by:

$$\lambda_{ij}(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \left( \cos \frac{\pi i}{m+1} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1} \right)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and the energy is:

$$E(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos \frac{\pi i}{m+1} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1} \right|.$$

The Laplacian eigenvalues are given by:

$$\lambda_{ij}^L(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \left( 2 + \cos \frac{\pi i}{m} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n} \right).$$

Exactly one Laplacian eigenvalue is zero (that is  $\lambda_{mn}^L$ ); the others are positive. The Laplacian energy is given by:

$$E_L(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos \frac{\pi i}{m} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n} - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right|.$$

**Proof.** The adjacency matrix follows from Corollary 3.2 and the Laplacian follows from Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 4.2.

The eigenvalues follow from Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 4.2. (Note that by Theorem 2.3  $P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$  has the same eigenvalues as the unsigned grid.)

The energy follows immediately from the definition.

We now look at the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy. By Theorem 3.7 the Laplacian eigenvalues are obtained by adding the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $P_m^{(r_1)}$  and those of  $P_n^{(r_2)}$ . Corollary 3.8 gives the Laplacian energy.

Noting the fact that the average degree is  $4 - \frac{2}{m} - \frac{2}{n}$  (from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.2), the Laplacian energy follows from Corollary 3.8.  $\square$

The case  $n = 2$  is that of a signed ladder. Here there is a slight simplification: the eigenvalues  $2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1}$  are  $\pm 1$ .

#### 4.3. Product signed cylindrical and toroidal grids

In light of Theorem 3.4, the following signed graphs are unbalanced:

1. A signed cylindrical grid graph  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$  when  $r_1$  is odd,  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n - 1$ . If  $r_1$  is even, the cylindrical grid is balanced.
2. A signed toroidal grid graph  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)}$  when  $r_1, r_2$  or both are odd,  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n$ . If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are both even, the toroidal grid is balanced.

A signed cylindrical grid that is the product of a signed cycle and a signed path is balanced or unbalanced depending on the parity of the number of negative edges in the signed cycle.

**Corollary 4.5.** A signed cylindrical grid of the form  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$ , where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n - 1$ , has the adjacency matrix  $A(C_m^{(r_1)}) \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes A(P_n^{(r_2)})$ . The Laplacian matrix is

$$4I_{mn} - I_m \otimes (J_{n;11} + J_{n;nn}) - A(C_m^{(r_1)}) \otimes I_n - I_m \otimes A(P_n^{(r_2)}).$$

**Proof.** The adjacency matrix follows from Corollary 3.2. The Laplacian follows from Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** A signed cylindrical grid of the form  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}$  where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n - 1$  has the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{ij} = 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n+1} \right),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The energy is

$$E(C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n+1} \right|.$$

The Laplacian eigenvalues are given by:

$$\lambda_{ij}^L = 2 \left( 2 - \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n} \right),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , of which all are positive, except for  $\lambda_{mn}^L$  when  $r_1$  is even. The Laplacian energy is

$$E_L(C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n} \right|.$$

**Proof.** The proof is the same as that of Corollary 4.4 with slight changes. The average degree is  $4 - \frac{2}{n}$  by Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

A signed toroidal grid that is the product of two signed cycles is balanced or unbalanced depending on the numbers of negative edges in the constituent signed cycles.

**Corollary 4.7.** A signed toroidal grid of the form  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)}$ , where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n$ , has the adjacency matrix  $A(C_m^{(r_1)}) \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes A(C_n^{(r_2)})$  and the Laplacian matrix  $4I_{mn} - A(C_m^{(r_1)}) \otimes I_n - I_m \otimes A(C_n^{(r_2)})$ .

**Proof.** The adjacency matrix follows from Corollary 3.2. The Laplacian follows from Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** A signed toroidal grid of the form  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)}$  where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n$  has the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{ij} = 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} \right),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_{ij}^L = 4 - 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} \right),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are positive, except that  $\lambda_{mn}^L = 0$  when  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are both even.

The energy and the Laplacian energy are both equal to

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} \right|.$$

**Proof.** The eigenvalues follow from Corollary 3.2. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues and energy follow from Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

#### 4.4. Line graphs of product signed grids

The line graphs of product signed grids can be treated by means of Theorem 3.11. That theorem does not give the Laplacian eigenvalues, so our results are limited, except for toroidal grids, which are regular and so are covered by Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 4.9.** The line graph  $\Lambda(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)})$  of a signed grid graph that is a Cartesian product of signed paths of lengths  $m, n \geq 2$  has the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{ij} = 2 - 2 \left( \cos \frac{\pi i}{m} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n} \right)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , of which all are  $< 2$  except that  $\lambda_{mn} = 2$ , and also 2 with additional multiplicity  $(m-1)(n-1) - 1$ . The energy is:

$$E(\Lambda(P_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)})) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| -1 + \cos \frac{\pi i}{m} + \cos \frac{\pi j}{n} \right| + 2(m-1)(n-1) - 2.$$

**Proof.** This is a corollary of Theorem 3.11 and either Lemma 4.2 or Corollary 4.4.  $\square$



**Corollary 4.10.** The line graph  $\Lambda(C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)})$  of a signed cylindrical grid where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n - 1$  has the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{ij} = 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} - \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n} - 1 \right),$$

of which all are  $< 2$  except that  $\lambda_{mn} = 2$  when  $r_1$  is even, and also the eigenvalue 2 with additional multiplicity  $m(n - 1)$ . The energy is

$$E \left( \Lambda \left( C_m^{(r_1)} \times P_n^{(r_2)} \right) \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| 1 - \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n} \right| + 2m(n - 1).$$

**Proof.** This is another corollary of Theorem 3.11 and Lemma 4.2 or Corollary 4.4.  $\square$

As toroidal grids are 4-regular, the line graphs of their product signatures fall within the scope of Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 4.11.** The line graph  $\Lambda(C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)})$  of a signed toroidal grid  $C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)}$  where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq n$  has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{ij} = 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} - 1 \right),$$

all of which are  $< 2$  except that  $\lambda_{mn} = 2$  if  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are even, as well as 2 with additional multiplicity  $mn$ . The Laplacian eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{ij}^L = 8 - 2 \left( \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} \right),$$

all of which are  $> 4$  except that  $\lambda_{mn}^L = 4$  if  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are even, as well as 4 with additional multiplicity  $mn$ . The energy and the Laplacian energy are both equal to

$$4mn + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos \frac{(2i - [r_1])\pi}{m} + \cos \frac{(2j - [r_2])\pi}{n} - 1 \right|.$$

**Proof.** In this example of Theorem 3.12,  $k_1 = k_2 = 2$  so  $k = 4$ . The product graph  $\Sigma = C_m^{(r_1)} \times C_n^{(r_2)}$  has  $mn$  vertices and  $\frac{1}{2}kmn = 2mn$  edges. The eigenvalues of the line graph  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\Sigma)$  are obtained from Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 4.8. The energies and Laplacian eigenvalues follow from Theorem 3.12.  $\square$

#### 4.5. Line graphs of homogeneously signed graphs

All-positive and all-negative signatures are interesting as they represent the “signed” and “signless” Laplacian matrices of ordinary graphs. Recall that the Laplacian is  $L(+G)$  and the “signless” Laplacian is  $L(-G)$ , so that the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$  are the same as those of  $+G$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_i^L(G) = \lambda_i^L(+G)$ , and the “signless” Laplacian eigenvalues are  $\lambda_i^L(-G)$ . Also recall that  $c(G)$  is the number of components of  $G$  and  $c_b(G)$  is the number of bipartite components of  $G$ . Note that, since  $L(+G) = D(G) - A(G)$  and  $L(-G) = D(G) + A(G)$ , the two Laplacian matrices are related by the identity  $L(+G) + L(-G) = 2D(G)$ .

**Corollary 4.12.** Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  with Laplacian eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^L(+G), \lambda_2^L(+G), \dots, \lambda_n^L(+G)$ , of which  $\lambda_1^L(+G), \dots, \lambda_{n-c(G)}^L(+G) > 0$  and  $\lambda_{n-c(G)+1}^L(+G), \dots, \lambda_n^L(+G) = 0$ .

The eigenvalues of the line signed graph  $\Lambda(+G)$  of  $G$  with all positive signs are  $2 - \lambda_1^L(+G), \dots, 2 - \lambda_{n-c(G)}^L(+G) < 2$  and eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + c(G)$ . Its energy is

$$E(\Lambda(+G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-c(G)} |\lambda_i^L(+G) - 2| + 2(m - n + c(G)).$$

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.9,  $\Sigma = +G$  and  $b(\Sigma) = c(G)$ .  $\square$

The line graph  $\Lambda(G)$  is equivalent to  $+\Lambda(G)$  but not in general to  $\Lambda(+G)$  because the latter is not in general homogeneous. To find the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$  we work through  $-\Lambda(-G)$ , which is  $\Lambda(-G)$  with all signs negated.

**Corollary 4.13.** Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  with underlying graph  $G$  and signless Laplacian eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^L(-G), \lambda_2^L(-G), \dots, \lambda_n^L(-G)$ , of which  $\lambda_1^L(-G), \dots, \lambda_{n-c_b(G)}^L(-G) > 0$  and  $\lambda_{n-c_b(G)+1}^L(-G), \dots, \lambda_n^L(-G) = 0$ .

The eigenvalues of the line signed graph  $\Lambda(-G)$  are  $2 - \lambda_1^L(-G), \dots, 2 - \lambda_{n-c_b(G)}^L(-G) < 2$  and eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its energy is

$$E(\Lambda(-G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-c_b(G)} |\lambda_i^L(-G) - 2| + 2(m - n + c_b(G)).$$

The eigenvalues of the unsigned line graph  $\Lambda(G)$  are  $\lambda_1^L(-G) - 2, \dots, \lambda_{n-c_b(G)}^L(-G) - 2 > -2$  and eigenvalue  $-2$  with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its energy equals  $E(\Lambda(-G))$ , thus it is a function of the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$ .

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.9,  $\Sigma = -G$  and  $b(\Sigma) = c_b(G)$ . Note that  $A(\Lambda(G)) = A(+\Lambda(G)) = -A(-\Lambda(G)) = -A(\Lambda(-G))$ , therefore the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$  are the negatives of those of  $\Lambda(-G)$ .  $\square$

#### 4.6. Line graphs of homogeneously signed regular graphs

The line graphs of signed graphs whose underlying graphs are regular also fall under Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 4.14.** Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  which is regular of degree  $k > 0$ . Let the eigenvalues of  $G$  be  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{c_b(G)} = -k, -k < \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-c(G)} < k$  and  $\lambda_{n-c(G)+1}, \dots, \lambda_n = k$ .

The line signed graph  $\Lambda(+G)$  has minimum eigenvalue  $-(2k-2)$  with multiplicity  $c_b(G)$ , intermediate eigenvalues  $-(2k-2) < \lambda_{c_b(G)+1} - k + 2, \dots, \lambda_{n-c(G)} - k + 2 < 2$  and largest eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + c(G)$  (unless  $G$  is a forest).

Its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $4k - 4$  with multiplicity  $c_b(G)$ , intermediate eigenvalues  $4k - 4 > 3k - 4 - \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, 3k - 4 - \lambda_{n-c(G)} > 2k - 4$ , and smallest eigenvalue  $2k - 4$  with multiplicity  $m - n + c(G)$ .

Its energy and Laplacian energy are:

$$E(\Lambda(+G)) = E_L(\Lambda(+G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-c(G)} |\lambda_i - (k-2)| + 2(m - n + c(G)).$$

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.10,  $\Sigma = +G$ ,  $b(\Sigma) = c(G)$  and  $b(-\Sigma) = c_b(G)$ .

It is well known that the only case in which  $m - n + c(G) = 0$  is that in which  $G$  is a forest.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.** Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  with underlying graph  $G$  which is regular of degree  $k > 0$ . Let the eigenvalues of  $G$  be  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{c_b(G)} = -k, -k < \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-c(G)} < k$  and  $\lambda_{n-c(G)+1}, \dots, \lambda_n = k$ .

The line signed graph  $\Lambda(-G) = -\Lambda(G)$  has eigenvalues  $-(2k-2)$  with multiplicity  $c_b(G)$ ,  $-(2k-2) < 2 - k - \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, 2 - k - \lambda_{n-c(G)} < 2$  and largest eigenvalue 2 with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its Laplacian eigenvalues, which are also the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$ , are  $4k - 4$  with multiplicity  $c_b(G)$ ,  $4k - 4 > 3k - 4 + \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, 3k - 4 + \lambda_{n-c_b(G)} > 2k - 4$ , and smallest eigenvalue  $2k - 4$  with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its energy and Laplacian energy, which are also the signless

Laplacian energy of  $\Lambda(G)$ , are:

$$E(\Lambda(-G)) = E_L(\Lambda(-G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-c_b(G)} |\lambda_i + k - 2| + 2(m - n + c_b(G)).$$

The eigenvalues of the unsigned line graph  $\Lambda(G)$  are the negatives of those of  $\Lambda(-G)$ , i.e.,  $2k - 2$  with multiplicity  $c(G)$ ,  $2k - 2 > k - 2 + \lambda_{c_b(G)+1}, \dots, 2k - 2 + \lambda_{n-c(G)} > -2$  and smallest eigenvalue  $-2$  with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $0$  with multiplicity  $c(G)$ ,  $0 < k - \lambda_{c(G)+1}, \dots, k - \lambda_{n-c_b(G)} < 2k$ , and largest eigenvalue  $2k$  with multiplicity  $m - n + c_b(G)$ . Its energy, Laplacian energy and signless Laplacian energy equal the energy of  $\Lambda(-G)$ .

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.10,  $\Sigma = -G$ ,  $b(\Sigma) = c_b(G)$  and  $b(-\Sigma) = c(G)$ . By the identity  $A(-G) = -A(G)$ ,  $-G$  has the eigenvalues  $-\lambda_i$ . Also,  $\Lambda(G)$  has the same eigenvalues as  $+\Lambda(G) = -(-\Lambda(G)) = -\Lambda(-G)$ , so that the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$  are the negatives of the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(-G)$ .

The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(-G)$  satisfy  $\lambda^L(\Lambda(-G)) = 2k - 2 - \lambda(\Lambda(G))$  because  $L(\Lambda(-G)) = (2k - 2)I_m - A(\Lambda(-G))$ . These are the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$ .

The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(G)$  are the eigenvalues of  $L(-\Lambda(-G)) = (2k - 2)I_m - A(-\Lambda(-G)) = (2k - 2)I_m + A(\Lambda(-G))$ . Thus, they have the form  $\lambda^L(\Lambda(G)) = 2k - 2 + \lambda(\Lambda(-G))$ , which is as stated in the corollary.  $\square$

Of particular interest are the homogeneous signatures of  $K_n$ . Recall that  $K_n$  has eigenvalues  $0$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$  and  $n - 1$  with multiplicity  $1$ .

**Corollary 4.16.** The line signed graph  $\Lambda(+K_n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  has eigenvalues  $3 - n$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$  and  $2$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2}$ .

Its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $3n - 7$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$  and  $2n - 6$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2}$ .

Its energy and Laplacian energy are:

$$E(\Lambda(+K_n)) = E_L(\Lambda(+K_n)) = (n - 1)(2n - 5).$$

**Proof.** Set  $G = K_n$  in Corollary 4.14. Then  $k = n - 1$ ,  $m = \binom{n}{2}$ ,  $c_b(K_n) = 0$  and  $c(K_n) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.17.** Let  $n \geq 3$ . The line signed graph  $\Lambda(-K_n) = -\Lambda(K_n)$  has eigenvalues  $-2(n - 2)$  with multiplicity  $1$ ,  $-(n - 3)$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ , and  $2$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2} - 1$ . Its Laplacian eigenvalues, which are also the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\Lambda(K_n)$ , are  $4n - 8$  with multiplicity  $1$ ,  $3n - 7$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ , and  $2n - 6$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2} - 1$ . Its energy and Laplacian energy are:

$$E(\Lambda(-G)) = E_L(\Lambda(-G)) = (n - 1)(2n - 5) + 2(n - 3).$$

The eigenvalues of the unsigned line graph  $\Lambda(K_n)$  are the negatives of those of  $\Lambda(-K_n)$ , i.e.,  $2(n - 2)$  with multiplicity  $1$ ,  $n - 3$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ , and  $-2$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2} - 1$ . Its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $2$  with multiplicity  $1$ ,  $n + 1$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ , and  $2n$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n-1}{2} - 1$ . The energy, Laplacian energy, and signless Laplacian energy of  $\Lambda(K_n)$  equal the energy of  $\Lambda(-K_n)$ .

**Proof.** Set  $G = K_n$  in Corollary 4.15.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank A.M. Mathai for bringing us together at an intensive workshop at the Centre for Mathematical Sciences (CMS) Pala Campus. Zaslavsky thanks the other authors for inviting him to assist with this paper.

## References

- [1] B.D. Acharya, Spectral criterion for cycle balance in networks, *J. Graph Theory* 4 (1980) 1–11.
- [2] R. Balakrishnan, The energy of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 387 (2004) 287–295.
- [3] Francisco Barahona, On the computational complexity of Ising spin glass models, *J. Phys. A* 15 (1982) 3241–3253.
- [4] D. Cartwright, F. Harary, Structural balance: a generalization of Heider's theory, *Psychological Rev.* 63 (1956) 277–293.
- [5] D. Cvetković, Grafovi i njihovi spektri (Graphs and their Spectra), Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., Ser. Mat. Fiz., No. 354–356, 1970, pp. 1–50.
- [6] Dragoš M. Cvetković, Michael Doob, Horst Sachs, Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften/Academic Press, Berlin/New York, 1980.
- [7] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S.K. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 423 (2007) 155–171.
- [8] K.A. Germina, S. Hameed K, On signed paths, signed cycles and their energies, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 4 (2010) 3455–3466.
- [9] M.K. Gill, B.D. Acharya, A recurrence formula for computing the characteristic polynomial of a sigraph, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* 5 (1980) 68–72.
- [10] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, *Ber. Math.-Stat. Sect. Forschungszent. Graz* 103 (1978) 1–22.
- [11] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: old and new results, in: *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications* (Gösswein, 1999), Springer, Berlin (2001) 196–211.
- [12] I. Gutman, B. Zhou, Laplacian energy of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 414 (2006) 29–37.
- [13] I. Gutman, O.E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [14] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
- [15] E. Heilbronner, Hückel molecular orbitals of Möbius-type conformations of annulenes, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 5 (1964) 1923–1928.
- [16] A.M. Mathai, On adjacency matrices of simple signed cyclic connected graphs, in preparation.
- [17] E. Sampathkumar, Graph Structures, DST Annual Progress Report #SR/S4/MS:235/02, 2005.
- [18] A.J. Schwenk, R.J. Wilson, On the eigenvalues of graphs, in: Lowell W. Beineke, Robin J. Wilson (Eds.), *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1978, pp. 307–336 (Chapter 11).
- [19] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 4 (1982) 47–74. (Erratum: *Discrete Appl. Math.* 5 (1983) 248.)
- [20] T. Zaslavsky, Matrices in the theory of signed simple graphs, in: B.D. Acharya, G.O.H. Katona, J. Nešetřil (Eds.), *Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications: Mysore, 2008 (ICDM-2008, Mysore, India)*, in: *Ramanujan Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series*, vol. 13, Ramanujan Mathematical Soc., Mysore, India, 2010, pp. 207–229.
- [21] T. Zaslavsky, A mathematical bibliography of signed and gain graphs and allied areas, *Electron. J. Combin.* 8 (1998) (VII ed., Dynamic Surveys #DS8, 124pp.).
- [22] T. Zaslavsky, Line graphs of signed graphs and digraphs, in preparation.
- [23] F. Zhang, *Matrix Theory: Basic Theory and Techniques*, Springer-Verlag, 1999.